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Abstract: The impact of Ghana’s national culture on its latent entrepreneurial activities are in frequently studied on - as compare to social, economic and financial influences. The current study examined the impact of Ghana’s national culture on its latent entrepreneurs among Small and Medium Sized Enterprises (SMEs) from the view point of Social Rule System theory. The study employed a simple random sampling technique eliciting 251 respondents from the Business city of Kumasi in Ghana. It employed Structural Equation Modelling statistical technique (SEM) using Amos software version 22 in analysing the data. The results showed that, masculine and high power distance cultures in Ghana have significant positive effects on peoples’ intention to become entrepreneurs. High uncertainty avoidance and collective cultures had positive and insignificant effects on latent entrepreneurs. The study presents significant contribution to the existing academic literature on national culture and latent entrepreneurial activities. It also brings additional step towards a fundamental means of empowering people into SMEs in any developing country. Recommendations are also provided for useful suggestion to government and policy makers in Africa towards the improvement of Small and Medium Sized Enterprises.
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1. Introduction

The growth of small and medium enterprises has become an important circumstance in supporting economic development in Africa. Entrepreneurship has become a feasible substitute to formal employment in the sub-Saharan African region. According to Ryan (2003), ILO (2006), Owusu-Ansah & Poku (2012), entrepreneurship has become an indispensable means in creating jobs towards the improvement of livelihoods. Acs et al. (2008) posited that, the recognition of entrepreneurship towards the development of nations has been broadly acknowledged from an economic perspective in the various empirical literature. Research continues to encourage the study of entrepreneurial activities primarily in small enterprises (Klotz, Hmieleski, Bradley & Busenitz, 2014). Sathiabama (2010) observed that, entrepreneurship was becoming an activity towards the creation of jobs in countries. Previous studies have investigated the influence of national culture on entrepreneurial activities: Luthans, Stajkovic & Ibraye (2000), Thomas & Mueller (2000), Mueller & Thomas (2001), Hayton, George, & Zahra (2002), Uhlaner & Thurik (2007), Linán & Chen (2009), Stepan & Uhlaner (2010). Cultural distinctions between countries have become significant among nations’ level of economic and entrepreneurial growth (GEM, 2004). According to Kreiser et al. (2010) and Fatoki & Chigonda (2011) cultural attitudes have significant impact on the entrepreneurial activities of a population, a country, a region or an ethnic group. Entrepreneurial activities have strong link with countries’ specific cultural tendencies (Lee & Peterson, 2001). The national culture of one’s country impacts its economic outcome - which has a greater effect on national savings rate and income (Guiso et al., 2006). Understanding one’s culture is likely to afford responses to meet problems of contemporary business (Naoret al., 2010, Klimas, 2016). OECD (1998) opined that, a cultural environment in which entrepreneurship is highly valued makes business failure to be seen as a constructive learning experience rather than a basis of embarrassment. Cultural differentiation has been studied on and had proven to have had a significant control on both latent and actual entrepreneurship (Blanchflower & Oswald, 2000; Audretsch et al., 2007).

Extensive work has been done in the Ghanaian context towards entrepreneurship: Aryeetey & Ahene (2005) investigated on the varying of policies on business environment for small-medium size enterprises; Boohene et al. (2008) studied on gender, personal values, strategies and small business performance; Abor and Quartey (2010) researched on comparative study on SME development in Ghana and South Africa; Dzisi (2010) studied on women and entrepreneurship; Buame (2010) studied on entrepreneurship education; Owusu-Ansah & Poku (2012) examined entrepreneurship education as a panacea to graduate unemployment; Ahomka (2014) studied on entrepreneurship and culture; Esson (2015) analysed on the escape to victory,
youth development, youth entrepreneurship and the migration of Ghanaian footballers while Falco & Haywood (2016) examined entrepreneurship versus joblessness - explaining the rise in self-employment.

Conversely, an examination of the extant literature on entrepreneurial activities in Ghana seemed to show that, the concentration was normally on actual entrepreneurs with limited studies on latent entrepreneurship. According to researchers like: Souitaris et al. (2007) and Van de Zwan et al. (2009), studies on latent entrepreneurship was in early stage which have not been extensively research on in the empirical literature. Again, there was limited relationship with most of the studies with the national culture which according to Hofstede (1980: 9) defined culture as “the collective programming of the mind that distinguishes the members of one group or category of people from the other groups.” It then explains that, one's culture serves as a basis for business idea after which education, training as well as motivation from external sources could help improve those business idea. Owusu-Ansah & Poku (2012) observed a paucity of data on entrepreneurship development in Ghana. Stevenson and Lundström (2001) revealed how entrepreneurial culture was one of the limited strategic areas of entrepreneurial growth. Brooks (2008) also posited that, there was inadequate number of studies on cultural environments within the context of SMEs in general. The current study seeks to cram the void in the extant literature by examining the influence of national culture on latent entrepreneurs' willingness to start small and medium enterprises in developing countries - with specific reference to Ghana. This study consists of sections which includes: literature review; research methodology; data collection procedure; construct operationalisation and measurement; data analysis and discussions; implications of the findings; conclusions; limitations of the study as well as suggestions for future research.

2. Literature Review

Literature was reviewed on the social rule system theory as well as each of the variables in the conceptual model.

Social Rule System theory: Social rule system theory (SRST) is a theory with strong root in sociology. Burns & Deitz (1992) observed that, human social behaviour is well thought-out and synchronized by socially created and replicated systems of regulations in societies. Such rules are grounded on norms, taboos, codes of conduct as well as community customs - which havereal subsistence in social institutions such as family, community and business enterprises (Flam & Carson, 2008). According to Burns & Flam (1987), social rules in a system powerfully manipulate behaviour and relations among members within a particular environment or institution. In order to guide and regulate interface, social rules provide behavior with a decipherable, distinctive patterns and make such patterns comprehensible and momentous for those who share in the ruling knowledge (Flam & Carson, 2008). According to Yau, Lee, Chow, Sin & Tse (2000), systems in societies help reduce uncertainty while increasing predictability and vice versa. Mutual ruleshake essential underpinning for well-informed people to derive, create and contrast situational prospects (Wang, Siu & Barnes, 2008). In grounding the social rule system theory to the current study, it is said that, peoples' ideas and behaviour are normally influenced by the cultural environment they find themselves. People who find themselves in an environment where entrepreneurial activities are part of that society are likely to end up as future entrepreneurs and vice versa.

Small and Medium Enterprises in Ghana: The definition of small and medium enterprise in Ghana has been defined differently by many authors and researchers. Currently, the most widely criterion used - is the number of employees to determine whether a business is small or medium. According to Osei, Baah-Nuakoh & Sowa (1993), there are variations even in the required number. The Ghana Statistical Service (GSS) classified firms with 10 employees or less as small scale enterprise whilst employees greater than 10 were seen as medium and large-sized enterprises. Conversely, the National Board for Small Scale Industries (NBSSI) in Ghana associated both fixed asset and employees' numbers as part of their criteria. NBSSI defined a Small Scale Enterprise as one whose employees were less than 9, with plant and machinery not beyond one thousand Ghana cedis (US$ 667, subject to the 2011 exchange rate). SMEs in Ghana are speckled transversely in city centers and rural areas (Boohene, Sheridan & Kotey, 2008), where many are owned and controlled by Ghanaians with few by foreigners (Quartey, 2003). In the current study, small and medium sized
enterprises are classified as shops and business entities that are found in many market centres across the various regions in Ghana.

**Latent and Actual Entrepreneurs:** Entrepreneurs could be actual or latent. According to a research that was conducted by Pihie (2009), entrepreneurship is classified into actual entrepreneurship, which talks about persons that have already established their business and are in operation while the latent entrepreneurship is about people that intend or are willing to start their own business. Vesalainen & Piikala (2000) defined latent entrepreneurs as people with alert state of mind that direct attention toward a definite goal to accomplish. Latent entrepreneurs are craving to be self-employed in the future and have the prospect to realize self-employment. The first category of entrepreneurship which was the actual entrepreneurship - talks about people that have already established their enterprises or industries. The focal point of the study is on latent entrepreneurs or people who are willing to start their own businesses in the country.

**National culture:** According to López-Duartea, González-Loureirob, Vidal-Suárez & González-Díaza (2016) an increasing body of the existing literature has revealed that, national culture has a greater effect on decision and strategy. National culture is determined by an idea that, each country has people with common history and understanding which are considered a country of consistent culture (Bhaskaran & Gligorovska, 2009). According to Robock & Simmonds (1989), national culture explains a set of social models and reactions that tend to clause a population’s behavior. Chui, Kwok and Zhou (2016) also observed that, national culture forms part of institutions such as - customs, norms, traditions as well as religion. Culture is the set of system and behavior blueprint that a group of people learns but does not inherit at birth. Hofstede (1980) distinguished between organizational culture and national culture. He assumed that "distinctive" value is specific to national culture while, the organizational culture is identified by "mutual" value within the organization. In the current study, national culture will be termed as norms and values that characterise a group of people living in a particular society or country.

**Masculinity Vs Femininity:** Masculinity explains how social gender roles are undoubtedly separated. In masculine cultures, male are supposed to be assertive, tough and focused on material success whereas women are supposed to be more modest, tender and are concerned with the quality of life. Femininity dwells on societies in which social gender roles tend to overlap (Hofstede, 1980). In the current study, the definition of Butler (1990) was used. He posited that, countries with high masculine cultures tend to stress on success and status while men dominate in such societies as in the case of the Ghanaian business and trading cultures (Ansah, 2015).

**High and Low Power Distance:** The degree at which the less influential members of an organisation within a country imagine and acknowledge that power is distributed unevenly (Hofstede, 1991). Power is unstable and it tends to change where people are - for instance a man is expected to be a superior at work place and also a father in a family unit (Hofstede, 2001). High power distance culture show signs of the following characteristics: subordinates are always liable when things go wrong; superiors overtly show their ranks; the relationship between employers and employees is not moderately close while common divisions are accepted. On the other hand, a low power distance culture is where responsibility is often shared by managers and employees. Subordinates are sometimes assigned important tasks and are treated with respect and trust by their superiors. This study postulates Ghana’s culture as one with a very high power distance as revealed by Ansah (2015) on Hofstede cultural dimension.

**High and Low Uncertainty Avoidance:** It explains the extent at which members feel endangered by doubtful situations. (Hofstede, 1991:113). In a culture that has high uncertainty avoidance, individuals try to stay away from improbability and doubt, seek for conformity and believe in unconditional truths - such people are seen to be of a higher level of nervousness and anxiety in such a society. Written set of laws, formal procedures and ceremony tend to add structure to life. Conversely, a low uncertainty avoidance culture makes it easier to acknowledge the uncertainty and take risks in life and they are less apprehensive and more relaxed (Hofstede, 2001). The current study positions Ghana’s culture as one with high uncertainty avoidance where people are afraid to venture into enterprise, they are not familiar with (Ansah, 2015).
Individualism Vs Collectivism: Individualism gives explanations to societies in which the bond between individuals are loose: each individual is liable to look after himself or herself as well as his or her immediate family. Collectivism refers to the social order in which individuals from birth onwards are included into an interconnected groups (Hofstede, 1991:51). The cultural distinctiveness of collectivism captures the importance people to the wellbeing of a larger group as opposed to individual welfare (Wagner & Moch, 1986). The study puts Ghana’s society as a society that is highly collectivists - where group initiatives are highly cherished than individual initiatives (Ansah, 2015).

Conceptual Model and Hypothesis Development: Figure 1 Shows the conceptual model illustrating five variables. Masculinity, Power Distance, Uncertainty Avoidance, Collectivism are the independent variables while Latent Entrepreneurs is the dependent variable.

Figure 1: The study's conceptual model

Hypothesis Development: The relationships between the research constructs are discussed in the succeeding pages:

Masculinity and Latent Entrepreneur: Previous studies have revealed that, entrepreneurship is associated with high levels of individualism (McGrath, MacMillan & Scheinberg, 1992; Busenitz & Lau, 1996). According to Roxana (2012), countries with high level of masculinity culture index are likely to take more risk. Dzisi (2014) also posited in her study that, the existence of socio-cultural constraints have effect on the contribution rate of young women into entrepreneurship. It then suggests that, Ghana’s culture is that of masculinity. Chigunta (2002) observed from the developing countries that, involvement of youths into entrepreneurship favours young men who were more likely to be self-employed than young women. It is thus hypothesised in this study that:

**H1**: A masculine cultural environment has a significant positive effect on people's intention to become entrepreneurs.

Power distance and Latent Entrepreneur: Rousseau & Venter (2002); Neighbors, Lostutter, Cronce & Larimer, (2007) all observed that, a review of the existing of literature seemed to identify that, risk taking behaviour is part of the developmental make-up of the human race. A high Power distance index means that elites in different countries clutchreasonablyto authoritarian views and that influence is based on institutions rather than on secular influence. Highly stratified cultures value traditional values more than autonomy (Roxana, 2012). In high power distance cultures, individuals are expected to be entrepreneurs while in low power distance cultures, people are less likely to be entrepreneurs (Hofstede, 1980). Consequently, depicting from the above deliberations, it can be hypothesised that:

**H2**: High power distance has a significant positive effect on peoples' intention to become entrepreneurs.

Uncertainty Avoidance and Latent Entrepreneur: A multiplicity of studies has led to the conclusion that, cultural values control entrepreneurial behavior. According to Hofstede (1980), national culture replicates
the fundamental scheme of ideals, philosophy and inclination of a nation. It explains that, the national culture of one's country has a greater influence on his or her make-up. Individuals with low altitude of uncertainty avoidance are likely to be entrepreneurs while persons with high altitude of uncertainty avoidance are less probable to be entrepreneurs (Hofstede, 1980). Researchers like: Shane (1993) and Taylor & Wilson (2012) also posited that, low level of uncertainty avoidance is associated with entrepreneurship. Corporate risk-taking is higher in societies with low uncertainty avoidance than countries with high uncertainty avoidance (Roxana, 2012). The supposition is verified by the modeling work of Giordani & Zamparelli (2011), who posited that, the lower the ambiguity aversion, the higher the Research & Development efforts and vice versa. Correspondingly, it is expected that, high uncertainty avoidance cultures are less likely to be latent entrepreneurs. It is therefore posited that:

**H3:** High uncertainty avoidance has a negative influence on peoples' intention to become entrepreneurs.

**Collectivism and Latent Entrepreneur:** According to Licht et al. (2005) individualistic cultures lay emphasis on self-centredness, contest, freedom and entrenched individual liberty and commitment. It is predicted that, individualism is correlated with overconfidence and hopefulness, which tend to have a momentous positive consequence on risk-taking and vice versa (Roxana, 2012). Individualistic persons are more probable to be entrepreneurs while collectivist persons are less likely to be entrepreneurs (Hofstede, 1980). Breuer et al. (2011) posited that, individualism is related to superiority and over optimism and both have constructive effects on individual risk-taking activity while collectivist culture do not promote risk-taking. It is hypothesised that:

**H4:** Collectivist cultural environment has a negative effect on peoples' intention to become entrepreneurs.

3. **Methodology**

The procedures that were used in collecting the data to its eventual analysis of the data are discussed in the succeeding pages:

**Sample and data collection:** The population for the study was people or inhabitants from the business city of Kumasi. The study area (Kumasi) is a cosmopolitan city in Ghana characterised with its trading activities. It is also the capital of Ashanti Region which currently has the largest population in Ghana exceeding the Greater Accra Region (Ghana Statistical Service, PHC 2010). Five undergraduate students from the Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology were recruited and trained as research assistants to give out and collect the questionnaires. Of the total of 300 questionnaires distributed, 251 usable questionnaires were reclaimed for the final data analysis, indicating a response rate of 84%.

**Measurement Instrument and Questionnaire Design:** Research scales were restructured on the basis of preceding research work. The national culture was used as the independent variable in the current study which was adopted from Hofstede (1980) culture dimensions. Proper fine-tuning was made to fit the current research context and purpose. "Masculinity" measure used four-item scale measure, "Power distance" used a four-item scale measure, "Uncertainty avoidance" used a five-item scale measure, Collectivism" used a five-item scale measure which were all adapted from Christie et al.(2003) while "Latent entrepreneurs" was measured on a seven-item scale measure which was adapted from ILO(2006), Seed Working paper No.76. All the constructs were measured on five-point Likert scale- which was ranked from: 1= strongly disagree to 5= strongly agree to articulate the degree of agreement and disagreement.

**Data Analysis:** The analysis of the study’s data began with the explanation of the demographic variables before the hypothesised relationship of the variables were discussed.

**Respondents’ profile:** Table 1 explains the depiction of the respondents' characteristics. The participants were predominantly females with 54.2%. A greater majority of the participants were between the ages of 30-39 years constituting 46.2%; 57.8% of the respondents were married while as many as 23.9% had masters degrees as their highest educational qualification.
Table 1: Demographic Characteristic of the Respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>45.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>54.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>251</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Age**
- Below 18 years: 10 (4.0%)
- 18 - 29 years: 50 (19.9%)
- 30 - 39 years: 116 (46.2%)
- 40 - 49 years: 55 (21.9%)
- 50 plus: 20 (8.0%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marital Status</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>25.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>57.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>251</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Educational Background**
- MSLC /JHS: 35 (13.9%)
- SSSCE / WASSCE: 45 (17.9%)
- O /A – Level: 41 (16.3%)
- Undergraduate: 50 (19.9%)
- Masters ( Postgraduate ): 60 (23.9%)

| Source: Author’s compilation (2016) |

Table 2: Accuracy Analysis Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Research Constructs</th>
<th>Descriptive Statistics</th>
<th>Cronbach Alpha</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Item Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masculinity</td>
<td>MA1</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MA2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MA3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MA4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MA5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Distance</td>
<td>PD1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PD2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PD3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PD4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UN1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UN2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UN3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UN4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UN5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collectivism</td>
<td>C3</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latent Entrepreneurs</td>
<td>LE2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LE3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LE4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LE5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LE6  0.699  0.871

Source: Author’s compilation (2016).
Note: MA = Masculinity; PD = Power distance; UN = Uncertainty avoidance; C = Collectivism; LE = Latent entrepreneurs.
CR value = Composite reliability; MFL = Measurement factor loadings; SD = Standard Deviation.
The eliminated constructs in Table 2. Were questions that were deleted in the process of assessing the confirmatory factor analysis (CFA)?

**Measure validation:** A two-step system approach as recommended by Anderson and Gerbing (1988) was employed before testing the study’s hypotheses. Confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) was carried out to assess reliability and validity. Cronbach alpha and composite reliability were used in checking the reliability of the study's construct. Convergent and discriminant validity of measures were assessed using factor loadings as well as the AVE values as shown in Table 2

**Measurement CFA Model fit:** The overall acceptable model fit was indicated in accordance with the following thresholds: chi - square value $\chi^2/ (df) < 3$; Goodness-of-Fit Index (GFI) > 0.9; Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA) values $< 0.08$; Incremental Index of Fit (IFI) > 0.9; Tucker Lewis Index (TLI) > 0.9 as well as the Comparative Fit Index (CFI) values $> 0.9$ for the estimation of the confirmatory factor analysis. The study's recommended statistics for the final overall model assessment showed an acceptable fit of the measurement model to the data and they are presented as: $\chi^2/ (df) = 1.48$, GFI = 0.913; IFI = 0.980; TLI = 0.974; CFI = 0.980; RMSEA = 0.044 characterised with the respective CFA diagram at figure 2.

**Figure 2: The original diagram for Confirmatory factor analysis**

Note: UN = Uncertainty Avoidance; PD = Power Distance; LE = Latent Entrepreneurs; MA= Masculinity = Collectivism.

The estimation of the model measure were all checked to guarantee theirappropriateness before path modelling was employed. Geldhof, Preacher and Zyphur (2014) opined that, composite reliability coefficients
give a perfect evaluation of reliability than employing alpha coefficients. The present study evaluated validity using: convergent validity and discriminant validity. Convergent validity clarifies how the variables within a single factor are related while discriminant validity assesses the extent to which factors are different and uncorrelated (Gaskins, 2013). Hair et al. (2010:709) posited that, a convergent validity is distinct when a construct’s AVE coefficient is 0.5 or higher. The values of the AVE coefficients ranged from 0.609 to 0.852 which were all greater than the least threshold of 0.5. In this study, factor loadings greater than 0.5 as well as AVE values greater than 0.5 was used in assessing the convergent validity. According to Hair et al. (2010) the use of composite reliability coefficients of 0.7 and above indicate a high level of construct reliability. It is seen from the table 2 that, all the constructs used in the current study offered high levels of reliability ranging from 0.849 to 0.958. According to Du Plessis (2010), a Cronbach’s alpha results exceeding 0.6 replicates the least possible level of acceptability. The Cronbach alpha values far exceeded the proposed threshold of 0.6 with values ranging from 0.730 to 0.942 as shown in Table 2.

**Table 3: Inter - Construct Correlation Matrix**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Research Constructs</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>LE</th>
<th>MA</th>
<th>PD</th>
<th>UN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Collectivism (C)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latent Entrepreneurs (LE)</td>
<td>0.645</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masculinity (MA)</td>
<td>0.629</td>
<td>0.592</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Distance (PD)</td>
<td>0.577</td>
<td>0.514</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncertainty Avoidance (UN)</td>
<td>0.740</td>
<td>0.604</td>
<td>0.655</td>
<td>0.751</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to Fornell & Larcker (1981) the use of square root of AVE in each latent variable facilitates the calculation of discriminant validity, in a situation where the value in question is higher than other correlation values between the latent variables. Table 3 shows the least average variance extracted among the latent variable as Latent entrepreneurs with an AVE value of 0.609, which is even the lowest among the variables; hence its square root becomes 0.780 is larger than the correlation values among all the other variable. The result indicates that, discriminant validity is well acknowledged. Therefore, the results substantiate the existence of discriminant validity of the measurement used in the study.

4. Results

**Results of structural model analysis:** This study used structural equation modeling statistical technique (SEM) to estimate the causal relationship among the constructs based on the conceptual model in Figure 1. The results are reported in Table 4. The model was acceptable in terms of overall goodness of fit. Acceptable model fit was indicated by \( \chi^2 \) values < 3; GFI and AGFI values >.90; RMSEA values <0.08; IFI and CFI values >.90. The results of current study indicated that: \( \chi^2 \) (df) (1.15); GFI (0.945); IFI (0.996), TLI (0.994), CFI (0.996) as well as RMSEA (0.024). It demonstrated how all the thresholds were met in determining the hypothesised relationships (Hair et al., 2010). This suggests that, the model converged well and had a credible manifestation of the fundamental empirical data structures collected in the Business city of Kumasi.

**Table 4: Results of Structural Equation Model Analysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Path Coefficient</th>
<th>Hypothesis</th>
<th>Factor Loading / P- value</th>
<th>Supported</th>
<th>Rejected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td>Latent Entrepreneurs</td>
<td>H1</td>
<td>0.358 + ***</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD</td>
<td>Latent Entrepreneurs</td>
<td>H2</td>
<td>0.202 + ***</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN</td>
<td>Latent Entrepreneurs</td>
<td>H3</td>
<td>0.183 +</td>
<td>Rejected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Latent Entrepreneurs</td>
<td>H4</td>
<td>0.158 +</td>
<td>Rejected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: MA = Masculinity; PD = Power distance; UN = Uncertainty avoidance; C = Collectivism; LE = Latent entrepreneurs
Note: Significance level < 0.05, Significance level < 0.01; Significance 0.001
Research structural model fits: \( \chi^2 \) (df) = 1.15; GFI = 0.945; AGFI = 0.915; IFI = 0.996, TLI = 0.994, CFI = 0.996, and RMSEA = 0.024.

**Testing of Hypothesis:** The results in Table 4 provides explanations for all the four hypotheses. The path coefficients for \( H1, H2, H3 \) and \( H4 \) were: 0.358; 0.202; 0.183 and 0.158 respectively. Hypothesis (H1) posited a
positive relationship between masculinity and latent entrepreneurs. The results supported the stated hypothesis with a strong and significant value of (0.358). Hypothesis (H2) posited a positive significant relationship between High power distance culture and people intention to become entrepreneurs. Figure 3 and Table 4 explain the relationship with a positive value instead of negative. Hypothesis (H3) posited a negative relationship between High uncertainty avoidance and people intention to become entrepreneurs. It then violates the stated hypothesis statement with a positive value of (0.183) while Hypothesis (H4) also posited a negative relationship between a collectivistic cultural environment and people intention to become entrepreneurs. The stated hypothesis statement was again rejected since it was stated in a negative form but turned out to be positive with a significant value of (0.158). The values of the various relationships are shown using the beta (β) values in the modified diagram in the path analysis in Figure 3.

**Figure 3: A Modified diagram for path analysis**

Note: UN = Uncertainty Avoidance; PD = Power Distance; LE = Latent Entrepreneurs; MA= Masculinity = Collectivism.

χ² (df) = 1.15;  GFI = 0.945; AGFI = 0.915; IFI = 0.996, TLI = 0.994, CFI = 0.996, and RMSEA = 0.024.

**Discussion of Results:** Hypothesis (H1) posited a significant positive relationship between masculinity and latent entrepreneurs. The results shown in Figure 3 and Table 4 supported the hypothesis with a strong and significant value of (0.358). The results showed that, masculine cultures tend to propel people to become entrepreneurs. The findings are in consistent with Roxana (2012) who posited that countries with high altitude of the masculinity manifestations are liable to take more risk. It explains that, a society that is more masculine with males dominating in their everyday activities are likely to turn out more entrepreneurs than in a feminine society. It again points to Burns & Deitz (1992) analysis on the social rule system - where social actions are coordinated by socially created systems of regulations in societies. Hypothesis (H2) also posited a significant positive relationship between High power distance culture and people intention to become entrepreneurs. Figure 3 and Table 4 explained the relationship with a significant value of (0.202); which concluded that, a society with a high power distance culture tend to motivate people to become entrepreneurs. When a society has a strong gap in relationship between the elderly and the young ones, there is a possibility that, the elderly may suggest a business idea which is possible to be adopted by the young - especially father and a child as seen in most retail businesses. The study findings are in consonance with Hofstede’s (1980) observation towardshigh power distance cultures, where he revealed that, persons in such cultures have a greater probability of becoming entrepreneurs; less in low power distance and vice versa.
Hypothesis (H3) posited a negative relationship between High uncertainty avoidance and people intention to become entrepreneurs. It violated or rejected the stated hypothesis statement. Figure 3 and Table 4 explicated the relationship with a positive value of (0.183); which explained that, a society with a high uncertainty avoidance culture affect peoples' intentions to become entrepreneurs but not in a negative way. The findings of the current study are contrary to the findings of Taylor & Wilson (2012) as well as Roxana (2012) who ascribed that, low levels of uncertainty avoidance is associated with entrepreneurship. The findings are applicable to Burns & Deitz (1992) observations on the social rule system, where he posited that policies and programs in societies have a greater likelihood to amend the already existing policies in such society. The more people become engage as a result of policies in one's country, the greater the probability of affecting their espoused cultural values. Though Ghana is categorised as a country with high uncertainty avoidance index (Ansah, 2015). The socio-economic factors put in place by previous and current governments in empowering entrepreneurs to revamp the economy has rejected the myth of the cultural tendencies in the academic literature. People are now poised to establish on their own despite all evidence to the contrary in the extant academic literature.

Hypothesis (H4) also posited a negative relationship between a collective cultural environment and people intention to become entrepreneurs. The stated hypothesis statement was again violated with a positive value of (0.158); which clarified that, a society that is collective in nature does not affect its peoples' intentions to become entrepreneurs from the Ghanaian perspective. The findings are again contrary to the results of Hofstede (1980); Breuer et al. (2011) who all posited that, collectivist cultures were more probable not to produce entrepreneurs. According to Burns & Flam (1987) social rules in a system tend to effectively control the behaviour of members within that environment or institution. A new system or changes in policies directives in a society has a greater influence in the activities of people living in that society (Burns & Deitz, 1992). The promotion of entrepreneurial activities in supporting groups or associations in establishing businesses on their own in Ghana might have had a greater influence in seeing collective groups doing more than on individual basis. It is also evident from the study's demographic characteristics that, majority of the respondents had masters degrees as their highest educational qualification - which might compel them in putting their resources together so as to establish on their own rather than to venture into business on individual basis.

5. Conclusion

The current study sought to examine the influence of national culture on latent entrepreneurs. Social rule system theory was provided as the theoretical grounding for the framework that was conceptualised for the study. The study postulated four hypotheses, data were collected from people who were not entrepreneurs but were poised to be entrepreneurs in future within the Business city of Kumasi in Ghana. The empirical findings did not support the all stated hypotheses - with some revealed in a varied way. It is therefore concluded that, masculine culture in Ghana has a greater influence on peoples' intent to become entrepreneurs. It shows how the systems in societies tend to shape the behaviour of people in that society or country. Programs are mostly structured in favouring men in many sphere of the average Ghanaian life - where men are regarded in many areas than women thereby giving advantage to males over females. Also, high power distance culture and people willingness to start their own businesses was also seen to be significant and positive. The more the gap between managers and employees' become clear - in terms of inequality between business owners and employees; the ideal managers or business owner being autocratic or acted as father as well as differences in privileges and status; the more likely to compel many people who want to be bosses to themselves to set up on their own.

In addition, high uncertainty avoidance culture was also seen to have a positive significant influence on latent entrepreneurs. The result then concluded that, social rule system in a form of policies and programmes in countries has greater effects on the behaviour of people in that country. Entrepreneurial programs by the government are refuting the idea of high uncertainty avoidance cultures negatively affecting latent entrepreneurship. Finally, collectivist culture also had a positive significant relationship with peoples' intention to be entrepreneurs other than a negative one - as stated in the hypothesis. The more the social system is structured in tackling some social-economic problems through education and training, the more people become familiar with new ways of doing things which are likely to violate the conformist way of
getting things done. The increased number of respondents being literates is more likely to influence the idea of putting capital or resources together in carrying out business activities rather than establishing on individual basis - considering the cost - benefit analysis.

**Implication of the study:** The current study makes extensive contribution to academic literature, business activitiesand policy towards small and medium size enterprises in Ghana and Africa.First, on the side of the academic, the influence of national culture on latent entrepreneurs -other than actual entrepreneurs in developing countriesin Africa is expected to add knowledge to the existing ones, since it was observed from the empirical literature that, studies on latent entrepreneurs very were scant.Secondly, contribution to business was made evident in the study as there was a growing evidence in the literature that, countries with high uncertainty avoidance tend to have negative association with entrepreneurs but the current study's findings had given different results - signifying that, the more people in a particular country become more educated, there is that likelihood that, they might begin to reason independently - which then affect their intention to establish on their own. Recent programs on entrepreneurship education among institutions' might one way or the other compel young and educated to set up on their own. Finally, the study also provides a contribution to policy by urging governments in making entrepreneurial activities a compulsory programme for the youth and people in Africa - especially those in schools. There should be an introduction of Entrepreneurship Education Innovation Fund (EEIF) to support the entrepreneurial programme as to increase the number of entrepreneurs in Ghana and Africa. The study finally submits that, the small business activities in countries - especially in Africa could contribute to the reduction of unemployment situation in the sub region.

**Limitations and future research:** The current study makes significant contributions to both academia, business and practice. However, it has its own limitations. The study’s data were gathered from only respondents in Kumasi. The results would be more helpful if data from the other part of Ghana are compared. Future studies may be conducted by increasing the sample size to cover the rest of the ten regions in Ghana.
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