Information Management and Business Review (ISSN 2220-3796)
Vol. 16, No. 3(S), pp. 415-423, Sep 2024

Unravelling Smart HRM 4.0: A Narrative Review of Progressive 4.0 Technology Integration in Human Resource Management

*Syezreen Dalina Rusdi[1,2], Ida Rosnita Ismail[2], Rosmah Mat Isa[3]
[1]Faculty of Business and Management, Universiti Teknologi Mara, Puncak Alam, Malaysia
[2]Graduate School of Business, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, Malaysia
[3]Faculty of Economics and Management, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, Malaysia
*syezre5475@uitm.edu.my, idarosnita@ukm.edu.my, rosmah@ukm.edu.my
Corresponding Author: Syezreen Dalina Rusdi

Abstract: The integration of progressive 4.0 technology into human resource management (HRM) represents a significant shift in how organizations optimize and enhance workforce capabilities. This review explores the origins, applications, benefits, challenges and future prospects surrounding smart HRM 4.0. By reviewing existing literature, this paper examines the utilization of Artificial Intelligence (AI), Big Data analytics, machine learning (ML) and the Internet of Things (IoT) in HRM, specifically in talent acquisition, training, performance management, and rewards. Additionally, it addresses the implementation challenges including data quality assurance, skill shortages, and cultural resistance. The paper also emphasizes the importance of ethical considerations. In terms of future research, it highlights the necessity for ethically deploying Industry 4.0 and establishing robust AI governance frameworks. By combining technological innovation with ethical values, organizations can navigate the complexities of this integration, leading to a future characterized by workplace efficiency, accountability, and fairness.

Keywords: Industry 4.0, *4.0 technologies, Smart HRM 4.0, Ethical implications, Corporate digital responsibility.*

1. Introduction and Background

In today's dynamic global business landscape, organizations around the world are experiencing significant transformations due to the disruptive impact of Industry 4.0. Within the context of these transformations, attention is directed towards the advent of progressive 4.0 technologies in boosting operational excellence (Calabrese et al., 2022). This era of technological disruption highlights the crucial need for firms to adapt and innovate to maintain their competitiveness (Marcon et al., 2022). As Industry 4.0 advances, the transformation goes beyond operational adjustments involving various business functions, including human resource management (HRM). Consequently, conventional practices are being re-examined, and innovative approaches powered by technological advancements are being explored (James et al., 2022 & Pillai et al., 2021).

Smart Human Resource Management 4.0 (Smart HRM 4.0) represents this shift by embracing advanced technology associated with Industry 4.0 in improving human resource (HR) functions and enhancing organizational performance (Duman & Akdemir, 2021; Verma et al., 2020). In the current climate of business uncertainty, the adoption of Smart HRM 4.0 is crucial for organizations seeking to navigate the complexities of the digital age while maximizing the full potential of their workforce. However, organizations often encounter various managerial challenges in transitioning to Industry 4.0, including hesitancy or resistance to adopt and implement these advanced technologies (Calabrese et al., 2022; Marcon et al., 2022). This underscores the significance of examining the adoption of I4.0 technologies and the barriers they present for the development of Smart HRM 4.0 along with its influence on HR and organizational performance.

As research on Smart HRM 4.0 is still in its initial phase, the comprehension of this concept remains limited, particularly regarding the influence of Industry 4.0 on HRM evolution and the changes it introduces to people management (Abellan-Sevilla & Ortiz-de-Urbina-Criado, 2023). Investigating this transformative wave raises several research questions to be addressed: (1) What are the key applications of Smart HRM 4.0? (2) Do these key trends enhance the strategic value of HRM? (3) What challenges do organizations face in adopting these smart working practices? (4) What future trajectories can be anticipated for these practices? Through a review of extant literature, this paper intends to offer valuable insights into the applications and benefits, challenges, and future paths of this developing practice. Additionally, it aims to shed light on the transformative impact of Smart HRM 4.0 and its implications for organizational success in the digital era.

2. Literature Review

Fourth Industrial Revolution: A shifting landscape from HRIS to Smart HRM 4.0: Introduced in 2011, Industry 4.0 was originally proposed as Germany's initiative to revitalize the industrial sector through the incorporation of disruptive 4.0 technology (Ghobakhloo et al., 2023; Sarbu, 2022; & Hoffmann Souza et al., 2020). As research on Industry 4.0 advances, a multitude of terms, technology, and areas of application linked to the same concept have emerged, each supporting different business functions (Culot et al., 2020; Duman & Akdemir, 2021). Among the various departments within an organization, the HR department holds a central position due to its responsibility for managing the organization's human capital. By integrating cutting-edge technology, HR functions can be streamlined effectively, resulting in reduced time required for its operations and ultimately contributing to industrial development and enhanced performance (Oswal et al., 2021).

Research on the utilization of HRM technologies has undergone significant evolution. The initial phase of IT integration in HRM dates back to the 1970s with the advent of Human Resources Information systems (HRIS), which computerize the process of HRM by electronically managing HR records and transactions (Hmoud, 2021). The studies predominantly centered on the value of HRIS and its potential to reform HRM practices in organizations (Maamari & Osta, 2021). Strohmeier (2020) and Shahreki et al. (2019) clarify that HRIS exerts a dual impact on HR functions; firstly, on relational dimensions encompassing recruitment, training, and performance management and secondly, on operational facets including administrative tasks, payroll management, and employee data maintenance. Given that HRIS gathers and stores timely data on employee-related matters, its adoption is deemed pivotal for the effectiveness of HR functions, contributing significantly to the precision of employee performance evaluations (Shahreki & Lee, 2024; Maamari & Osta, 2021). Additionally, HR data can be leveraged for strategic, tactical and operational decision-making (Shahreki & Lee, 2024).

In the early 1990s, research in HRM expanded beyond HRIS to include Electronic Human Resource Management (e-HRM), a comprehensive system that comprises diverse integrated mechanisms of HRM and information technologies (Hmoud, 2021; Al-Harazneh &Sila, 2021). In comparison to HRIS, e-HRM offers distinct advantages when it comes to integrating organizational data and improving the quality of HRM services (Zhou et al., 2022), By leveraging network technology such as the Internet in processing HR services and tasks, e-HRM permits employees to access HR data, carry out relevant HRM functions online, and engage with both internal and external stakeholders, such as job seekers, manager, and suppliers (Talukdar & Anirban, 2022).

The latest development of technology in HRM is Smart HRM 4.0, a smart working concept that is firmly grounded in the fourth industrial revolution (Pillai & Srivastava, 2024). This revolution is characterized by the incorporation of sophisticated 4.0 digital technology, including artificial intelligence (AI), robotics, Big Data analytics, machine learning (ML), and the Internet of Things (IoT) (Ogbeibu et al., 2021; Sivakumar et al., 2020). The current body of knowledge surrounding this integration in HRM has mainly focused on its transformative potential for HR operations.

Pillai and Srivastava (2024) pointed out that Smart HRM 4.0 enables organizations to upskill their workforce, while at the same time automating routine tasks. These implications not only optimize operational efficiency but also make the HR functions more agile and responsive to changing market demands. Additionally, the advanced analytical feature of Smart HRM 4.0 allows for a comprehensive insight into employee preferences and behavioral patterns, facilitating more personalized and effective HR interventions (Ganatra & Pandaya, 2023). This data-driven approach marks a substantial departure from traditional and generalized HR practices. The automation of routine tasks and the availability of sophisticated analytic tools enable HR professionals to redirect their focus towards more strategic aspects of their roles, such as talent cultivation and employee engagement. Therefore, Smart HRM 4.0 is recognized as a crucial strategy for addressing the challenges posed by the contemporary workforce (Pillai & Srivastava, 2023).

Reshaping HRM Functions through Smart HRM 4.0: Smart HRM 4.0 not only redefines conventional procedures but also introduces innovative solutions to effectively manage and enhance the workforce. At the core of Smart HRM 4.0 are several key HRM functions, including recruitment and talent acquisition (Pillai & Sivathanu, 2020), training and development (Soltani & Morris, 2020; Chen, 2022), compensation management

(Meijerink, 2021) and performance and predictive analytics management (Murugesan et al., 2023). These functions are seamlessly integrated with the progressive technologies of Industry 4.0 (Pillai et al., 2021).

One notable advancement facilitated by Smart HRM 4.0 is the utilization of ML, a subset of AI, which revolutionizes the talent acquisition process. According to Artar et al. (2024), machine learning algorithms have the ability to predict the success of potential candidates, thereby expediting recruitment processes and improving the quality of hiring decisions. For example, advanced programs that use ML algorithms have been developed to assess a person's skill level (Goretzko & Israel, 2022). Additionally, they can analyze future performance and counterproductive habits and convert a person's digital records into personality variables (Sahin et al., 2019). On another note, the combination of AI algorithms and big data analytics enhances recruitment efficiency by optimizing applicant screening and selection through comprehensive data analysis (Agarwal, 2023). Similarly, ML models in performance management empower organizations to analyze employee performance data, enabling informed decision-making and the customization of personalized development programs (Nayem & Udin, 2024). This approach ensures a more data-driven approach to HRM decision-making.

When it comes to the utilization of robots and robotic process automation (RPA), they have been implemented in the field of HRM to automate repetitive and time-consuming tasks such as payroll processing, benefits administration, and compliance reporting (Samarasinghe & Medis, 2020). This automation not only enhances productivity but also minimizes the risk of human error, ultimately strengthening the accuracy and reliability of HRM activities (Bankins 2021). The integration of the IoT on the other hand, holds promise for enhancing the personalization of training programs. Abdussamad et al. (2022) suggest that IoT-enabled data collection facilitates effective planning, organization, and coordination of training initiatives. By leveraging IoT devices, organizations can implement just-in-time training approaches, continuously monitor training outcomes, and provide real-time coaching and feedback to employees. This real-time analysis enables the identification of skill gaps or deficiencies, empowering organizations to address them promptly and effectively (Mohanty & Mishra, 2020).

The incorporation of progressive 4.0 technology into HRM functions presents a significant opportunity to improve operational efficiency, increase employee engagement, and improve strategic decision-making. If an organization is able to successfully use these technological breakthroughs while also tackling the issues that are connected with them, then they will be in a strong position to succeed in the competitive business environment. Nevertheless, successful implementation requires careful consideration of numerous challenges, especially within human resources.

Implementation Challenges of Smart HRM 4.0: The implementation of technological advancements, particularly the adoption of Smart HRM 4.0, demands a substantial investment in developing capabilities and entails the acquisition of new skills (Calabrese et al., 2021; van Dun & Kumar, 2023). As a result, companies are focusing on acquiring skilled talent to effectively utilize these technologies (Kamble et al., 2018). Therefore, it is crucial to understand the changes brought about by Industry 4.0 in terms of the competencies, skills, and attitudes required to identify the job profiles of the future (Flores et al., 2020). Process understanding, data security, programming, and the operation of emerging technologies such as cyber-physical systems, big data, IoT, and the cloud, are key hard skills that are indispensable in the context of Industry 4.0 (Nicolas-Augustin 2022; Matt et al., 2020). Digital literacy, which was once a strategic advantage in the Third Industrial Revolution (Sakurada et al., 2020), has now become essential for employees, particularly those who require reskilling. Beyond having digital literacy, employees must also be agile in adapting to the ongoing organizational changes brought about by automation's demands (Shet & Pereira 2021). The discussion concerning competencies holds substantial importance in the field of HRM due to its influence on organizations and its relevance to Industry 4.0. Consequently, HRM plays a crucial role in determining the competencies required by an organization and how they should be developed (Meddour et al., 2020). Competency development involves identifying the specific competencies that call for improvement, discerning the critical gaps that exist between the current competency level and the desired level, and subsequently bridging those gaps through suitable and targeted qualifications. This enables organizations to align their workforce with the challenges posed by Industry 4.0 (Abdeldayem & Aldulaimi, 2020).

Furthermore, to be able to accept these changes, there is a need for a cultural shift inside the organization. A major concern about the influence of organizational culture on digital transformation is the potential resistance to change due to shared beliefs and attitudes (Bockius & Gatzert, 2023). Some perspectives within the organization may embrace change, while others may cling to traditional norms, which can slow down the adoption of progressive 4.0 technologies (Agrawal et al., 2020). It is important to note that, a cultural shift that fosters a positive attitude towards change is imperative to establish an environment wherein employees feel empowered to explore new possibilities, acquire fresh knowledge, and readily adapt to innovative approaches (Bozkus, 2024). Hence, a transformation in organizational culture demands the implementation of effective change management methods to overcome opposition and cultivate a culture that values innovation and ongoing learning (Al-Khatib et al., 2021; Tang et al., 2020). Understanding these obstacles is essential for businesses that want to make effective use of 4.0 technologies to improve their HRM procedures.

Another significant technological-related issue often cited in the implementation of smart technology is the problem of data quality. For organizations to adopt big data and AI systems, especially those that are based on machine learning algorithms, they need vast amounts of high-quality data (Bohmer & Schinnenburg, 2023). It poses a challenge as numerous relevant data sets for HR big data are not integrated within HR systems but are rather scattered across different areas of the organization (Angrave et al., 2016; Marler & Boudreau, 2017). The performance of an individual is not only captured through appraisals and HR reports, but also in areas such as marketing, production, and customer service data, as workforce analytics inherently involve multiple functions (Hamilton & Sodeman, 2020). Given that data is dispersed throughout the organization, navigating data collection and determining the specific locations of data sets, compatibility of databases, and availability of data for analysis can prove challenging in certain contexts (McIver et al., 2018). Consequently, HR departments should make data management a crucial priority to ensure these systems are accurate.

3. Ethical Implications

The ethical implications of progressive 4.0 technology raise important concerns for organizations and governments alike (Chatterjee et al., 2021; Weiskopf & Hansen, 2022). Ethical considerations primarily revolve around issues of algorithmic bias and transparency (Hunkenschroer & Luetge, 2022; Pessach et al., 2020; Cheng & Hackett, 2019).

Within the HRM context, algorithmic bias refers to disparities between gender, ethnicity, or other characteristics concerning opportunities associated with employment, promotion and termination that result from the utilization of an AI system (Charlwood & Guenole, 2022). In recruitment and selection for example, algorithmic bias manifests when training data fails to accurately capture the skills and other relevant traits required for a given job, often due to under- or over-representation of certain demographic groups (Albaroudi et al., 2024). This raises significant concerns about fairness and discrimination in human resource practices (Hickman et al., 2021).

Compounding this issue is the lack of transparency in AI decision-making processes. The opaque nature of these systems makes it difficult to understand the rationale behind every decision generated by the AI system, undermining confidence and accountability (Rodgers et al., 2023). This lack of transparency can hinder technology acceptance, as HR practitioners need to learn methods for validating AI results and understand the basics of machine learning programming and algorithms (Bohmer & Schinnenburg, 2023). Considering the direct impact of HRM on individuals and the ethical and legal implications of data-driven decisions (Gal et al., 2020), it is crucial to establish a framework that enables HRM practitioners to fully understand and address the ethical considerations involved in using evolutionary algorithms for decision-making (Rodgers et al., 2023). Transparency is also key in presenting input data, data analysis procedures, and the connections between findings and conclusions, allowing for the validation of predictions (Heidemann, 2024). By enhancing transparency, organizations can build trust and ensure accountability, leading to a wider acceptance and ethical implementation of Smart HRM 4.0.

Another pressing concern in this digital era is the matter related to privacy and data security, given the growing dependence of organizations on the gathering and examination of extensive personal data to drive decision-making (Bozkus, 2024). The potential for misuse of such data, coupled with the threat of unauthorized access

by malicious parties, highlights the need to address ethical considerations surrounding data collection and surveillance (Mittelstadt et al., 2016). Consequently, organizations must implement robust privacy and security measures, be transparent about their data practices, and empower employees to maintain control over their personal information.

In response to these concerns, the concept of corporate digital responsibility (CDR) has been introduced. CDR entails the principles that govern a company's ethical, fair, and protective utilization of data and technology within its digital ecosystem (Hartley et al., 2024). Its objective is to ensure that companies operate with a sense of responsibility, prioritizing long-term sustainable business practices and growth in relation to their adoption and utilization of AI and other 4.0 digital technologies, as well as the underlying data (Hartley et al., 2024; Lobschat et al., 2021). It signifies a company's dedication to the responsible use of technology (Wirtz et al., 2023). CDR assists managers in ensuring the responsible use of new technologies for the benefit of organizations, society, and the environment while mitigating any negative consequences (Toth & Blut, 2024).

4. Limitations

The current study aims to provide an overview of Smart HRM 4.0 within the framework of Industry 4.0, to identify the key trends and challenges associated with the advanced technologies driving HR (such as AI, big data, robotics, and IoT). However, it is important to acknowledge the limitations of this review, which can be addressed in future research.

Firstly, the present study has relied solely on one database (Web of Science) for sourcing and examining relevant articles, potentially leading to the exclusion of important publications. To address this issue, future studies should consider incorporating articles from other influential databases, such as Scopus, ScienceDirect, and Springer, along with systematic literature review protocols and methods.

Furthermore, due to the limited empirical studies found (Chowdhury, 2023), the scholarly discussion primarily consists of conceptual papers and academic literature reviews. Thus, future research can build upon these findings by gathering empirical evidence from HR practitioners to further validate the practical applications of AI and other cutting-edge technologies in HRM.

5. Future Directions and Conclusion

The rapid incorporation of 4.0 technology, particularly AI into HRM has opened up new avenues for study, hinting towards a future in which HR practices would not only be more efficient but also more compassionate and personalized. Future studies may investigate the effectiveness of AI-or data-driven personalized learning and development programs as well as the impact of AI-powered personalized career pathing on employee retention and satisfaction. Research questions worth investigating in this direction include (1) What role does AI play in creating more compassionate and employee-centric HR practices, and how does this affect employee performance and retention? and (2) How does the integration of AI-powered personalized learning and development programs impact employee skill development and job performance? This research could lead to more tailored and effective HR practices that enhance employee experience and organizational performance.

As the field evolves, the importance of conducting research on the development of ethical, responsible, and unbiased systems related to the use of progressive technology in HRM should be emphasized. Both scholars and practitioners have been compelled to explore techniques that ensure AI systems in human resources are built with ethical considerations in mind (Hartley et al. 2024; Bujold et al., 2023). These investigations have been driven by concerns about algorithmic bias and the lack of transparency in decision-making. Hence, it is crucial to establish clear governance frameworks that outline the ethical use of AI technologies, and the responsibility to manage these tools as they become increasingly integrated into HR operations. Hence, future studies may focus on developing industry-specific models that govern the emerging innovation and technology in HRM, examining the role of HR professionals in overseeing AI systems and its impact on employee trust. Specifically, future researchers may address the following research questions: (1) how does the presence of algorithmic bias in AI systems impact decision-making processes in HRM, and what strategies can mitigate such biases? (2) How can governance frameworks be designed to ensure the ethical and responsible use of AI in

HRM, and what are the industry-specific challenges in establishing these frameworks? and (3) What role do HR professionals play in overseeing AI-driven HR systems, and how does their involvement influence the ethical management of these
technologies?

Finally, given the global nature of the topic, future research may also focus on the cross-cultural adoption of Smart 4.0 in HRM. This could include comparing AI and other progressive technology adoption rates in HRM practices across different cultural contexts, investigating the need for cultural adaptation of smart working systems in multinational organizations and exploring the impact of cultural values on employee acceptance of this technology in HR processes. Research questions that can be pursued by future researchers are (1) how do adoption rates of AI and other progressive technologies in HRM vary across different cultural contexts, and what factors contribute to these differences? (2) How do multinational organizations balance the need for standardized Smart HRM 4.0 practices with the requirement for cultural adaptation in different regions? And (3) How do employees from different cultural backgrounds perceive the integration of AI and Smart 4.0 technologies in HRM, and what factors influence their acceptance? Investigating these research questions may be essential in ensuring that Smart HRM 4.0 practices are effective and appropriate across diverse cultural contexts.

Conclusion

In a nutshell, this study offers valuable insights for HR specialists on practical applications of progressive 4.0 technologies in enhancing various HR functions. Smart HRM 4.0 has the potential to bring substantial gains, despite the challenges in implementation, cultural resistance, and ethical concerns. Insights from ongoing research will be crucial in harnessing the full potential of these technologies to build more efficient and responsive HR practices. Successfully navigating towards Smart HRM 4.0 requires a comprehensive approach to integrating technological advancement and commitment to ethical principles and human-centric values. The biggest risk lies in not capitalizing on the opportunities presented by these technologies for HR development, which could result in the failure to meet the evolving expectations of organizations and the labor market. Embracing 4.0 technologies in HRM is not just an option, but rather a need for sustaining competitiveness and relevance in the era of Industry 4.0.

Acknowledgment: This paper is funded by UKM-GSB, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia under the grant code GSB-2024-012.

References

Abdeldayem, M. M., & Aldulaimi, S. H. (2020). Trends and opportunities of artificial intelligence in human resource management: Aspirations for the public sector in Bahrain. *International Journal of Scientific and Technology Research*, 9(1), 3867–3871

Abdussamad, Z., Agyei, I. T., Dongul E. S., Abdussamad, J., Raj, R. & Effendy, F. (2022). Impact of Internet of Things (IoT) on Human Resource Management: A review. Materials Today: Proceedings, 56, 3534–3543

Abellan-Sevilla, A.J. & de-Urbina-Criad, M. O. (2023). Smart human resource analytics for happiness management. *Journal of Management Development*, 42(6), 514-525.

Agarwal, A. (2023). AI adoption by human resource management: a study of its antecedents and impact on HR system effectiveness. *Foresight*, 25(1), 67-81.

Agrawal, P., Narain, R. & Ullah, I. (2020). Analysis of barriers in the implementation of digital transformation of supply chain using an interpretive structural modelling approach. *Journal of Modelling in Management*, 15(1), 297-317.

Albaroudi, E., Mansouri, T. & Alameer, A. (2024). A Comprehensive Review of AI Techniques for Addressing Algorithmic Bias in Job Hiring. AI, 5(1), 383-404.

Al-Harazneh, Y.M. & Sila, I. (2021), The impact of E-HRM usage on HRM effectiveness: highlighting the roles of top management support, HR professionals, and line managers. Journal of Global Information Management, 29(2), 118-147.

Al-Khatib, A.W., Al-Fawaeer, M.A., Alajlouni, M.I. & Rifai, F.A. (2022). Conservative culture, innovative culture, and innovative performance: a multi-group analysis of the moderating role of the job type. International Journal of Innovation Science, 14(3/4), 675-692.

Angrave, D., Charlwood, A., Kirkpatrick, I., Lawrence, M., & Stuart, M. (2016). HR and analytics: Why HR is set to fail the big data challenge. Human Resource Management Journal, 26(1), 1-11.

Artar, M., Balcioglu, Y. S. & Erdil, O. (2024). Improving the quality of hires via the use of machine learning and an expansion of the person-environment fit theory. Management Decision, 0025-1747, doi 10.1108/MD-12-2023-2295.

Bankins, S. 2021. The ethical use of artificial intelligence in human resource management: a decision-making framework. Ethics and Information Technology, 23(4), 841-854.

Bohmer, N. & Schinnenburg, H. (2023). Critical exploration of AI-driven HRM to build up organizational capabilities. Employee Relations: The International Journal, 45(5), 1057-1082.

Bockius, H. & Gatzert, N. (2023). Organizational risk culture: a literature review on dimensions, assessment, value relevance, and improvement levers. *European Management Journal*, doi: 10. 1016/J.EMJ.2023.02.002.

Bujold, A., Roberge-Maltais, I., Parent-Rocheleau, X., Boasen J., Senecall, S. & Leger, P.-M. (2023). Responsible artificial intelligence in human resources management: a review of the empirical literature. AI and Ethics, https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-023-00325-1.

Bozkus, K. (2024). Organizational Culture Change and Technology: Navigating the Digital Transformation. IntechOpen. doi: 10.5772/intechopen.112903.

Calabrese, A., Levialdi Ghiron, N. & Tiburzi, L. (2021), 'Evolutions' and 'revolutions' in manufacturers' implementation of industry 4.0: a literature review, a multiple case study, and a conceptual framework. Production, Planning and Control, 32(3), 213-227.

Calabrese, A., Dora, M., Ghiron, N.L. & Tiburzi, L. (2022). Industry's 4.0 transformation process: how to start, where to aim, what to be aware of. Production, Planning and Control. 33(5), 492-512.

Charlwood, A. & Guenole, N. (2022). Can HR adapt to the paradoxes of artificial intelligence? Human Resource Management Journal, 32(4), 729-742.

Chatterjee, S., Chaudhuri, R., Vrontis, D., & Siachou, E. (2021). Examining the dark side of human resource analytics: An empirical investigation using the privacy calculus approach. *International Journal of Manpower*, 43(1), 52–74.

Cheng, M. M., & Hackett, R. D. (2021). A critical review of algorithms in HRM: Definition, theory, and practice. Human Resource Management Review, 31(1), 100698. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2019.100698

Chen, Z. (2022). Artificial intelligence-virtual trainer: Innovative didactics aimed at personalized training needs. Journal of the Knowledge Economy, 14, 2007–2025.

Chowdhury, S., Dey, P., Joel-Edgar, S., Bhattacharya, S., Rodriguez-Espindola, O., Abadie, A. & Truong, L. (2023). Unlocking the value of artificial intelligence in human resource management through AI capability framework. Human Resource Management Review, 33(1), 100899

Culot, G., Nassimbeni, G., Orzes, G., & Sartor, M. (2020). Behind the definition of Industry 4.0: Analysis and open questions. International Journal of Production Economics, 226, 107617.

Duman, M. & Akdemir, B. (2021). A study to determine the effects of Industry 4.0 technology components on organizational performance. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 167, 0-3.

Flores, E., Xu, X., & Lu, Y. (2020). Human Capital 4.0: A workforce competence typology for Industry 4.0. Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management, 31(4), 687–703.

Gal, U., Jensen, T. B., & Stein, M.K. (2020). Breaking the vicious cycle of algorithmic management: A Virtue ethics approach to people analytics. Information and Organization, 30(2), 100301.

Ganatra, N. J. & Pandaya, J. D. (2023). The transformative impact of artificial intelligence on hr practices and employee experience: A review. Journal of Management Research and Analysis, 10(2), 106-111.

Ghobakhloo, M., Vilkas, M., Stefanini, A., Grybauskas, Marcinkevicius, G., Petraite, M. & Sarvari, P. A. (2023). Developing capabilities underlying Industry 4.0 design principles within the manufacturing context. Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management, 34(7), 1183-1207.

Goretzko, D. & Israel, L.S.F. (2022). Pitfalls of machine learning-based personnel selection: fairness, transparency, and data quality. Journal of Personnel Psychology, 21(1), 37-47,

Hamilton, R. H. & Sodeman, W.A. (2020). The questions we ask: Opportunities and challenges for using big data analytics to strategically manage human capital resources. Business Horizons, 63, 85-95.

Hartley, N., Kunz, W. & Tabit, J. (2024). The corporate digital responsibility (CDR) calculus: How and why organizations reconcile digital and ethical trade-offs for growth. *Organizational Dynamics*, 53(2), 101056. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orgdyn.2024.101056.

Heidemann, A., Hulter, S. M. & Tekieli, M. (2024). Machine learning with real-world HR data: mitigating the

trade-off between predictive performance and transparency. The International Journal of Human Resource Management, 35(14), 2343-2366

Hickman, L., Saef, R., Ng, V., Woo, S. E., Tay, L., & Bosch, N. (2021). Developing and evaluating language-based machine learning algorithms for inferring applicant personality in video interviews. Human Resource Management Journal, 34(2), 255-274. https://doi. org/10.1111/1748-8583.12356

Hmoud, B. (2021). Assessing HR Leader's attitude toward the adoption of artificial intelligence in recruitment. Journal of EcoAgriTourism, 17(1), 20-32

Hoffmann Souza, M.L., da Costa, C.A., de Oliveira R. G. & da Rosa R. R. (2020). A survey on decision-making based on system reliability in the context of Industry 4.0. Journal of Manufacturing Systems, 56, 133-156.

Hunkenschroer, A.L. & Luetge, C. (2022). Ethics of AI-enabled recruiting and selection: a review and research agenda. Journal of Business Ethics, 178(4), 977-1007

James, A.T., Kumar, G., Tayal, P., Chauhan, A., Wadhawa, C. & Panchal, J. (2022). Analysis of human resource management challenges in the implementation of Industry 4.0 in the Indian automobile industry. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 176, 121483

Kamble, S. S., Gunasekaran, A., & Sharma, R. (2018). Analysis of the driving and dependence power of barriers to adopt Industry 4.0 in the Indian manufacturing industry. Computers in Industry, 101, 107-119.

Lobschat, L., Mueller, B., Eggers, F., Brandimarte, L., Diefenbach, S., Kroschke, M., & Wirtz, J. J. J. (2021). Corporate digital responsibility. Journal of Business Research, 122, 875–888.

Maamari, B.E. & Osta, A. (2021). The effect of HRIS implementation success on job involvement, job satisfaction and work engagement in SMEs. International Journal of Organizational Analysis, 29(5), 1269-1286.

Marcon, E., Soliman, M., Gerstlberger, W. & Frank, A.G. (2022). Sociotechnical factors and Industry 4.0: an integrative perspective for the adoption of smart manufacturing technologies. Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management, 33(2), 259-286.

Marler, J.H. & Boudreau, J.W. (2017). An evidence-based review of HR Analytics. International Journal of Human Resource Management, 28(1), 3-26.

Matt, D. T., Orzes, G., Rauch, E., & Dallasega, P. (2020). Urban production – A socially sustainable factory concept to overcome shortcomings of qualified workers in smart SMEs. Computers and Industrial Engineering, 139. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cie.2018.08.035

McIver, D., Lengnick-Hall, M. A., & Lengnick-Hall, C. A. (2018). A strategic approach to workforce analytics. Business Horizons, 61(3), 397-407.

Meddour, H., Abdussalaam, I. I., & Abdul Majid, A. H. (2020). Withstanding the effect of Industry 4.0: The role of high-performance work system and management philosophy. International Journal of Supply Chain Management, 9(1), 511–519.

Meijerink, J., Boons, M., Keegan, A., & Marler, J. (2021). Algorithmic human resource management: Synthesizing developments and cross-disciplinary insights on digital HRM. The International Journal of Human Resource Management, 32(12), 2545–2562.

Mittelstadt, B. D., Allo, P., Taddeo, M., Wachter, S., & Floridi, L. (2016). The ethics of algorithms: Mapping the debate. Big Data & Society, 3(2). https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951716679679

Mohanty, S., & Mishra, P. C. (2020). Framework for understanding the Internet of Things in human resource management. Espacios, 41(12), 3-15.

Murugesan, U., Subramanian, P., Srivastava, S. & Dwivedi, A. (2023). A study of Artificial Intelligence impacts on Human Resource Digitalization in Industry 4.0. Decision Analytics Journal, 7, 100249. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dajour.2023.100249

Nayem, Z. & Uddin, M. A. (2024). Unbiased employee performance evaluation using machine learning. Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity 10(1), 100243. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joitmc.2024.100243.

Nicolas-Agustın, A., Jimenez-Jimenez, D. & Maeso-Fernandez, F. (2022). The role of human resource practices in the implementation of digital transformation. International Journal of Manpower, 43(2), 395-410.

Ogbeibu, S., Pereira, V., Emelifeonwu, J. & Gaskin, J. (2021). Bolstering creativity willingness through digital task interdependence, disruptive and smart HRM technologies. Journal of Business Research, 124, 422-436.

Oswal, N., Ateeq, K., & Mathew, S. (2021). Trends in Recruitment Information and Communication System using Artificial Intelligence in Industry 4.0. *International Conference on Finance, Economics, Management and IT Business*. (pp.111-118). DOI:10.5220/0010503201110118

Pessach, D., Singer, G., Avrahami, D., Chalutz Ben-Gal, H., Shmueli, E., & Ben-Gal, I. (2020). Employee recruitment: A prescriptive analytics approach via machine learning and mathematical programming.

Decision Support Systems, 134. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2020.1132
Pillai, R. & Srivastava, K.B.L. (2024). Smart HRM 4.0 for achieving organizational performance: adynamic capability view perspective. International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management, 73 (2), 476-496.
Pillai, R. & Srivastava, K.B.L. (2023). Smart HRM 4.0 practices for organizational performance: the role of dynamic capabilities. Benchmarking: An International Journal, 13(1), 1-23.
Pillai, R. & Sivathanu, B. (2020). Adoption of artificial intelligence (AI) for talent acquisition in IT/ ITeS organizations. Benchmarking, 27 (9), 2599-2629.
Pillai, R., Yadav, S., Sivathanu, B., Kaushik, N. & Goel, P. (2021). Use of 4.0 (I4.0) technology in HRM: a pathway toward SHRM 4.0 and HR performance. Foresight, 24(6), 708-727.
Rodgers, W., Murray, J. M., Stefanidis, A., Degbey, W. Y. & Tarba, S. Y. (2023). An artificial intelligence algorithmic approach to ethical decision-making in human resource management processes. HumanResource Management Review, 33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2022.100925
Sahin, F., Karadag, H. & Tuncer, B. (2019). Big five personality traits, entrepreneurial self-efficacy and entrepreneurial intention: a configurational approach. International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour and Research, 25(6), 1118-1211.
Sakurada, L., Geraldes, C. A. S., Fernandes, F. P., Pontes, J., & Leitao, P. (2020). Analysis of new job profiles for the factory of the future. International Workshop on Service Orientation in Holonic and Multi-Agent Manufacturing, 262–273
Sarbu, M. (2022). The impact of Industry 4.0 on innovation performance: Insights from German manufacturing and service firms. Technovation, 113, 102415.
Samarasinghe, K.R. & Medis, A. (2020). Artificial intelligence-based strategic human management (AISHRM) for industry 4.0. Global Journal of Management and Business Research, 20(2), 1-4.
Shahreki, J., Ganesan, J., Raman, K., Chin, A.L.L. & Chin, T.S. (2019). The effect of human resource information system application on employee satisfaction and turnover intention. Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues, 7(2), 1462-1479.
Shahreki, J. & Lee, J. Y. (2024). Adopting human resource information system and work-related outcomes in emerging market SMEs: a unified theory of acceptance and use of technology. Cross Cultural & Strategic Management, 31(1), 116-142.
Shet, S. V. & Pereira, V. (2021). Proposed managerial competencies for Industry 4.0 – Implications for social sustainability. Technological Forecasting & Social Change, 173, 121080.
Sivakumar, S., Mohan, U., Chankarachan, P., & Bhaduri, N. (2020). HR4.0: How Should HR Gear Up for Industry 4.0? NHRD Network Journal, 13(2), 190-196. https://doi.org/10.1177/2631454120931604
Soltani, P. & Morice, A.H.P. (2020). Augmented reality tools for sports education and training. Computers & Educations, 155. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2020.103923.
Strohmeier, S. (2020). Smart HRM–a Delphi study on the application and consequences of the Internet of things in human resource management. The International Journal of Human Resource Management, 31(18), 2289-2318. doi: 10.1080/09585192.2018.1443963.
Talukdar, A. & Anirban, G. (2022), A dark side of e-HRM: mediating role of HR service delivery and HR socialization on HR effectiveness. International Journal of Manpower, 43(1), 116-147.
Tang, G., Park, K., Agarwal, A. & Liu, F. (2020). Impact of innovation culture, organization size and technological capability on the performance of SMEs: the case of China. Sustainability, 12(4), 1355. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12041355.
Toth, Z. & Blut, M. (2024). Ethical compass: The need for Corporate Digital Responsibility in the use of Artificial Intelligence in financial services. Organizational Dynamics, 53(2).
van Dun, D.H. & Kumar, M. 2023. Social enablers of Industry 4.0 technology adoption: transformational leadership and emotional intelligence. International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 43(13), 152-182.
Verma, A., Bansal, M. & Verma, J. (2020). Industry 4.0: reshaping the future of HR. *Strategic Direction*, 36(5), 9-11.
Wirtz, J., Kunz, W. H., Hartley, N., & Tarbit, J. (2023). Corporate Digital Responsibility in Service Firms and their Ecosystems. *Journal of Service Research*, 26(2), 173-190.
Weiskopf, R., & Hansen, H. K. (2022). Algorithmic governmentality and the space of ethics: Examples from People Analytics. *Human Relations*, 76, 483–506.
Zhou, Y., Cheng, Y., Zou, Y. and Liu, G. (2022). e-HRM: a meta-analysis of the antecedents, consequences, and cross-national moderators. *Human Resource Management Review*, 32(4), 1-21.